# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.,

No. C 09-1478 SI

Plaintiff,

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO COMPEL PRODUCTION

v.

OF DOCUMENTS

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

Plaintiff requests a discovery order compelling defendants to produce documents requested under Patent Local Rule 3-4(a).<sup>1</sup>

It appears that plaintiff did not attempt to meet and confer with defendants prior to filing this request. Civil Local Rule 37-1 provides, "The Court will not entertain a request or a motion to resolve a disclosure or discovery dispute unless, pursuant to [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure] 37, counsel have previously conferred for the purpose of attempting to resolve all disputed issues." Although plaintiff's noncompliance with the meet and confer rule provides sufficient cause to deny this discovery request, the Court will address the issue before it in the interest of efficiency.

<sup>1</sup> The parties' letter briefs are found at Docket Nos. 37 (filed 11/12/09), 38 (filed 11/18/09), and 40 (filed 11/20/09). The Court notes that the parties are to exchange responsive claim constructions on December 1, 2009 and to file a joint claim construction and pre-hearing statement on January 11, 2010.

# 1. Request for Rule 3-4(a) documents concerning ASP.NET functioning

This request seeks documents demonstrating the specific functioning of defendant Microsoft's ASP.NET software including: (1) Internal Product Requirement documents ("PRDs") used by Microsoft's engineering team in creating ASP.NET and (2) engineering specifications for ASP.NET, "which would include [Uniform Modeling Language ("UML")] diagrams and related document/specifications that detail the inner workings of ASP.NET and its integration points with other Microsoft Products." Plaintiff asserts that it is entitled to obtain these documents under Patent Local Rule 3-4(a), which requires that "the party opposing a claim of patent infringement shall produce or make available for inspection and copying: (a) Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality."

In response to plaintiff's letter brief, defendants contend that the documents sought by plaintiff have already been produced, including over 10,000 pages of technical documents sufficient to show the operation of the accused ASP.NET software, specifications for version 1.0 of the software, and ASP.NET source code. The source code has been made available for plaintiff's experts to review, but only as of November 16, 2009, after plaintiff's 11/12/09 letter brief was filed. Plaintiff contends that defendants produced only an incomplete set of the version 1.0 specifications.

The Court agrees that defendants should produce Rule 3-4(a) documents concerning the allegedly infringing aspects of their device. To the extent they have not done so already, defendants are therefore ordered to produce documents that show the relevant allegedly infringing aspects of the ASP.NET software.

The Court directs the parties to further meet and confer regarding defendants' production, to determine whether it is complete, no later than by **December 1, 2009**. Further, the parties are ordered to meet and confer on the balance of the discovery requests, to the extent they remain in dispute.

### **CONCLUSION**

Where the Court has ordered the parties to further meet and confer, the parties shall do so immediately but by no later than **December 1, 2009**, and if the parties are able to resolve their disputes,

# Case 3:09-cv-01478-SI Document 42 Filed 11/23/09 Page 3 of 3

**United States District Court** 

defendants shall produce documents by <b>December 4, 2009</b> .	If the parties are not able to resolve their
disputes after the further meet and confer, plaintiff may file a	another motion to compel.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 23, 2009

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge